

CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 007149
330 South Third Street, Suite 1010
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 464-6007
Attorney for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
ALBERT PLANELLS,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

Case No.: 2:14-cr-00260-JAD-GWF

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
SENTENCING

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between defendant, ALBERT PLANELLS, by and through his counsel, CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ., and the United States America, by its counsel, ROBERT KNIEF, that the above-captioned matter currently scheduled for May 12, 2017 at the hour of 10:00 a.m. be vacated and continued for at least 60 days or a time convenient to the court.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. The parties agree to a continuance, as both parties are working on sentencing issues;
2. Counsel for the Defendant has spoken to the Defendant and the Defendant has no objection to this continuance.
3. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice.
4. For all the above- stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date.

///

///

///

1 5. This is the third request to continue sentencing by the Defendant.

2 DATED this 8th day of May, 2017.

3
4 /s//: Chris T. Rasmussen

/s//: Robert Knief

5 CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.
6 Counsel for Defendant

ROBERT KNIEF
Assistant U.S. Attorney

CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 007149
330 South Third Street, Suite 1010
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 464-6007
Attorney for Defendant

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
ALBERT PLANELLS,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

Case No.: 2:14-cr-00260-JAD-GWF

**FINDINGS OF FACT AND
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing, the Court finds that:

1. The parties agree to a continuance, as both parties are working on sentencing issues;
2. Counsel for the Defendant has spoken to the Defendant and the Defendant has no objection to this continuance;

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Denial of this request would result in a miscarriage of justice.
2. For all the above-stated reason, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date at least sixty days.

///

///

///

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
3


4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
5 Plaintiff,)
6 vs.)
7)
8 ALBERT PLANELLS,)
9 Defendant.)

Case No.: 2:14-cr-00260-JAD-GWF

ORDER

10 Accordingly, IT IS SO ORDERED that the trial currently scheduled for May 12, 2017 at
11 the hour of 10:00 a.m., by vacated and continued to July 14, 2017 at the hour of 10:00 a.m.
12 —

13 DATED this 8th day of May, 2017.

14 
15 _____
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28